

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/435,168		11/05/1999	SANJAY P. MURALIDHAR	1899-001	4848	
9629	7590	01/09/2004		EXAMINER		
		& BOCKIUS L	ZEENDER, FLORIAN M			
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004			V	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			3627		
					DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/435,168	MURALIDHAR, SANJAY P.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	F. Ryan Zeender	3627					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cov r sh et	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleved for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 201	<u>November 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowation closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 25 and 39-42 is/are pending in the a	application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 25 and 39-42 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner, Note the attact	led Office Action of form PTO-192.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.) (1) (0					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language professional translation of the foreign language profess	nts have been received. Ints have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In ority the certified copies in the certified copies in ority under 35 U.S. It is sentence of the special rovisional application has stic priority under 35 U.S.	Application No en received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) fication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

Claims 25, and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

Mere intended or nominal use of a component, albeit within the technological arts, does not confer statutory subject matter to an otherwise abstract idea if the component does not apply, involve, use, or advance the underlying process. In the present case, the claims only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps of merely storing, linking and displaying information on a computer terminal, and then creating an exchange, does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since the recited step of creating an exchange can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper. This step only constitutes an idea of a means for trading.

Art Unit: 3627

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the result is the creation of the exchange.

Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is <u>not within the technological arts</u> as explained above, the claims are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

It is suggested by the Examiner that the applicant relate the claims to the technological arts by linking the method step of "creating an exchange..." to a computer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25, 39, and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Walker et al. '127. (See Cols. 4, 5, 7, and 8).

The reference discloses or inherently teaches all of the limitations of the claims including option price information in Col. 7, line 52-61 and Col. 8, lines 19-24. The "price terms" are set between participants (i.e., sellers such as the airlines) in the exchange.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3627

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25, 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. '127 in view of "ebay.com".

Walker et al. disclose all the limitations of the claims except: the price terms set between participants in the exchange (if the terminology "between participants" were interpreted to be only between a buyer and a seller); the options involving sporting events, the price determined by market conditions.

The "ebay.com" website teaches an exchange system whereby price terms are set between the buyer and the seller in an auction, the exchange system involving the selling of sporting event tickets, the pricing information being determined by market conditions (i.e., supply and demand), and pricing information further being pre-set by the seller in an optional "buy-it-now" feature.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Walker et al. to have the price terms set between participants in the exchange (if the terminology "between participants" were interpreted to be only between a buyer and a seller); the options involving sporting events, and the price determined by market conditions, in view of ebay.com, in order to provide a means for sport fans to buy options for tickets at an agreed upon price.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Ryan Zeender whose telephone number is (703) 308-8351. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The receptionist's phone number for the Technology center is (703) 308-1113 and the customer service number is (703) 872-9325.

Art Unit: 3627

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for before Final communications and (703) 872-9327 for after Final communications.

F. Zeender

Primary Patent Examiner, A.U. 3627

January 5, 2004